



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

BC8

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/088,737 06/02/98 KOANA

R 862.2339

005514 WM31/0423
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK NY 10112

EXAMINER

PDON, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

DATE MAILED:

04/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/088,737

Applicant(s)
Ryuzo Koana et al.

Examiner
King Y. Poon

Group Art Unit
2624



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) King Y. Poon (3) _____
(2) Edward Kmett (4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 20, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed amendment claim, 1, 16, 30-35

Identification of prior art discussed:
Kageyama

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Discussed the invention, and the difference between the invention and Kageyama.

Proposed to amend claims 1, 16, 30-35 to include the limitations of a limiting means to limit the conditions that would be selected by a user.

Advised that the limiting means is new limitations which change the scope of invention, and would require further search and considerations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

King Y. Poon 4/20/2001

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.